

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

May 10, 2001

LB 242, 366

CLERK: I have nothing further on the bill, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Senator Erdman.

SENATOR ERDMAN: Thank you, Mr. Lieutenant Governor. I move the advancement of LB 242 to E & R for engrossing.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: The question is the advancement of LB 242 to E & R. Those in favor say aye. Opposed nay. The bill is advanced. Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Erdman, LB 366 I have E & Rs first of all, Senator.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Senator Erdman.

SENATOR ERDMAN: Thank you, Mr. Lieutenant Governor. I move the adoption of the E & R amendments to LB 366.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator. The question is the adoption of the E & R amendments to LB 366. Those in favor say aye. Opposed nay. The amendments are agreed to. Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: Senator Bromm would move to amend, Mr. President. (AM1878, Legislative Journal page 1769.)

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Senator Bromm, you're recognized to open on AM1878.

SENATOR BROMM: Thank you very much, Mr. President. We had some discussion on General File about this issue and this issue is the issue of under what circumstances a county that has zoning should be able to require building permits for a residential structure which is a nonfarm building that's located on a farm. And in the process of that discussion, it appeared and I know it to be a fact that some counties are requiring building permits for residences on farms and other counties are not. And as a result of that discussion, this amendment would in effect say that if a county has zoning, the county, through their zoning regulations, can make a determination as to whether or not to require a building permit for residential nonfarm dwellings. So